Docket No.: 0020-5301PUS1

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Takahiro ITO et al.	•			
Application No.: 10/509,912	Confirmation No.: 4489			
Filed: October 4, 2004	Art Unit: 1623			
For: LIQUID PREPARATION COMPRISING CAMPTOTHECIN DERIVATIVE AND PHARMACEUTICAL COMPOSITION PRODUCIBLE BY LYOPHILIZING THE PREPARATION	Examiner: J. S. Lau			
REQUEST FOR REFUND (IMPROPER CHARGE OF DEPOSIT ACCOUNT)				
MS 16 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	May 6, 2009			
Madam: .	•			
I. REFUND REQUEST				
This is a request for a refund with respect to the charge to Deposit Account <u>02-2448</u> shown on the statement for the month of <u>December 2008</u> for the above-identified				
□ pater □ pater	nt .			
A copy of the monthly statement in accompanies this request.	which the error referred to occurs,			

Application No.: 10/509,912 Docket No.: 0020-5301PUS1

11. FEES CHARGED FOR WHICH REFUND REQUESTED

		AMOUNT OF REFUND REQUESTED
	liling fee	·
	search fee	
	examination fee	
	surcharge for filing the basic filing on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e))	
	and/or	
[surcharge for filing the oath or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e))	
	extension of term	
	first month	
	second month	· · · · · ·
	third month	
	fourth month	
\boxtimes	excess claims .	<u>\$858</u>
	issue fee	
	petition fee	
	2	RCS/njp

Application No.: 10/509.912		No.: 10/509.912	Docket No.: 0020-5301PUS	
		patent maintenance fee		
		first maintenance fee	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		second maintenance fee		
		third maintenance fee		
		patent maintenance fee surcharge		
		Other:	·	
			· ·	
		TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED	<u>\$858</u>	
111	EVD	EVEL AND TION OF WITH CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN EDROR		

III. EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ERROR

21 claims were paid for when the application was filed on October 4, 2004 together with a First Preliminary Amendment. The multiple dependent claim fee was also paid at that time.

On January 21, 2005 a Second Preliminary Amendment was filed and at that time an excess claim fee of \$450 was paid for 30 dependent claims.

On December 5, 2008 an Amendment was filed and no additional dependent claims were added, however, one new Independent claim was added and the \$210 fee was paid. The claims as filed in the Amendment on December 5, 2008 were reduced to 18 dependent claims (30 previously paid for). Since the multiple dependency claim fee had been paid when the case was filed, and the 4th Independent claim was paid for on December 5.

Application No.: 10/509,912 Docket No.: 0020-5301PUS1

2008, there should not have been the need for additional claim fees. It is respectfully requested that the \$858 fee be refunded to the Applicants.

IV. MANNER OF REFUND

Please make refund by crediting Account No. 02-2448.

Dated: May 6, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Raymond C. Stewart

Registration No.: 21,066

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant